



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,137	08/22/2005	Yuuchirou Ogawa	121506	8749
25944 7590 11/05/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850				
EXAMINER				
FISCHER, JUSTIN R				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1791				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/05/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/511,137	Applicant(s) OGAWA, YUUICHIROU
		Examiner Justin R. Fischer	Art Unit 1791

All Participants:

(1) Justin R. Fischer.

(2) Daniel Tanner.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
103 rejection under Ogawa

Claims discussed:
1-3,5-9, and 12-14

Prior art documents discussed:
Ogawa

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Justin R Fischer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative discussed the potential filing of an RCE and the desire to specifically pursue the allowance of claim 8 (includes limitations pertaining to the location of the turnup end in relation to a reference line QB). In such an instance, the pending rejection of claim 8 would remain applicable and a Non Final rejection would be communicated to applicant (assuming the submission of new arguments with the filing of said RCE).